# organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Yong-Miao Shen,<sup>a</sup> Hoong-Kun Fun<sup>b</sup> and Jian-Hua Xu<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Naniing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>X-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: shenyongmiao@nju.org.cn

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.002 Å R factor = 0.043 wR factor = 0.115 Data-to-parameter ratio = 19.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Dimethyl indolizine-1,6-dicarboxylate

In the title compound,  $C_{11}H_{11}NO_4$ , the indolizine unit is almost planar. The two carboxylate groups are coplanar with the indolizine moiety. The packing is stabilized by intermolecular  $C-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds.

## Comment

Indolizines are electron-rich heterocycles. 3-Unsubstituted indolizine is especially important in the family of indolizines, as the hightest electronic population in the  $\pi$ -excessive heterocycle focuses on C3, which allows many electrophilic substitutions (Reid et al., 1979).



The structure of the title compound, (I), has been determined as we use 3-unsubstituted indolizines as electrophilic substituted reagents. The bond lengths and angles in (I) are within normal ranges (Allen et al., 1987), and comparable with those in related structures (Usman et al., 2002). In the title structure (Fig. 1), all C atoms are almost planar, the torsion angles around the indolizine unit ranging from 0.11 (12) to



© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

The structure of (I), showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme.

Received 19 August 2006 Accepted 21 August 2006. 1.59 (7) °. The carboxylate groups are nearly coplanar with the indolizine unit. Coplanarity is influenced by an intramolecular C9–H9 $\cdots$ O2 hydrogen bond.

The crystal structure of (I) exhibits hydrogen-bond interactions (Table 1); these help to stabilize the crystal structure (Fig. 2).

## **Experimental**

A suspension of 3-(carboxymethyl)pyridinium chloride (10 mmol) methyl acrylate (50 mmol) and  $Et_3N$  (1.5 ml) in toluene (80 ml) was stirred at 363 K for 2 h (monitored by thin-layer chromatography). The resulting solid was filtered off, and the organic layer was evaporated and chromatographed to give (I) (yield 56%; m.p. 423–424 K) (Zhang *et al.*, 2000). Single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis were obtained by recrystallization from a petroleum ether–acetone solution (3:1 v/v).

Z = 4

 $D_x = 1.486 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

 $0.52 \times 0.21 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$ 

13030 measured reflections

3041 independent reflections 2516 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 100.0 (1) K

Block, yellow

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.029$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 30.0^\circ$ 

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{12}H_{11}NO_4\\ M_r = 233.22\\ \text{Monoclinic, } P2_1/c\\ a = 14.3617 \ (3) \ \text{\AA}\\ b = 3.83580 \ (10) \ \text{\AA}\\ c = 19.4547 \ (3) \ \text{\AA}\\ \beta = 103.3550 \ (10)^\circ\\ V = 1042.75 \ (4) \ \text{\AA}^3 \end{array}$ 

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD areadetector diffractometer  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*XCAD4*; Harms & Wocadlo 1995)  $T_{\min} = 0.944, T_{\max} = 0.986$ 

Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0556P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$	+ 0.3698P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.115$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.07	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
3041 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.41 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
156 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.21 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

#### Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
C1-H1A···O4 <sup>i</sup>	0.93	2.43	3.237 (2)	144
$C12-H12A\cdots O2$	0.96	2.47	2.406 (6)	164

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 2, -y + 2, -z.

H atoms were positioned geometrically and were treated as riding on their parent C atoms, with C–H distances in the range 0.93– 0.97 Å, and with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(\text{parent atom})$ , or  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C_{methyl})$ .



#### Figure 2

The packing of the molecule of (I), viewed along the b axis.

Data collection: *CAD-4 Software* (Enraf–Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: *CAD-4 Software*; data reduction: *XCAD4* (Harms & Wocadlo, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, grant No. 20572044).

### References

Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.

- Enraf-Nonius. (1989). *CAD-4 Software*. Version 5. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1995). XCAD4. University of Marburg, Germany.
- Reid, D. H., Webster, T. G. & Mckenzie, S. (1979). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, pp. 2334–2339.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Usman, A., Li, Y., Zhang, Y., Fun, H.-K. & Xu, J.-H. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, 01427–01429.
- Zhang, L. D., Liang, F., Sun, L. Z., Hu, Y. F. & Hu, H. W. (2000). *Synthesis*, pp. 1733–1737.